Research Methodology

Arpita Maitra

TCG CREST [arpita76b@gmail.com]

7th March, 2022

Roles and responsibilities of students

- Planning and discussing with the supervisor about the research topic and time-table for the research.
- Discussing with the supervisor the type of guidance and feedback that are most helpful, and agreeing a schedule of meetings.
- Taking the initiative in raising problems or difficulties, no matter how elementary they may seem.
- Taking the initiative in arranging meetings.
- Maintaining the progress of the work, in particular, in the form of a presentation of written materials for initial feedback and discussion before proceeding to the next stage.

Roles and responsibilities of students Contd.

- Taking care about necessary safety precautions.
- Drafting and circulating the agenda and supporting documents in advance of meetings, and drafting and circulating the minutes of meetings.
- Keeping systematic records of work completed, and providing written progress reports.
- Submitting all documents including the final version of the thesis to the supervisors for feedback before submission.
- Be aware of the fact that your supervisor(s) gets considerable time to evaluate the thesis.

Roles and responsibilities of students Contd.

Keeping in Touch with the Supervisor......



How to keep touch with your supervisor

- It is desirable that the student maintain regular contact with the supervisor.
- Personal meeting is usually the most preferred form of interaction
- Other forms of possible interactions are:
 - Email (often encouraged)
 - Telephony (official line, land line, Mobile, if the guide allows)
 - sms
 - WhatsApp
 - Google Meet, Zoom call, WebEx etc.

Interaction by personal meeting

- Depending on several things, a student may expect at least 8 personal meetings in a year
- Generally, for about 45 minutes
- There could be short informal meetings also, in between
- Quickly arranged meetings to sort out specific issues
- Pop-up meeting
- Even during coffee break, evening walk

Arranging meeting with supervisor(s)

- Formal meeting generally requires prior appointment.
 - helps both parties.
 - Undivided attention for longer period
 - Time of the supervisor is generally structured (Lectures, departmental meetings) and a suitable slot must be found.
- Arranging appointment:
 - Fixed schedule (often requires confirmation)
 - · Agreed during the previous meeting
 - Through email, WhatsApp, sms
 - By phone (follow up after email)
 - Through the secretary/PA
- Special care is needed to arrange joint meetings with more than one supervisors.



Few points to be remembered before arranging a meeting

- Before arranging the meeting, make sure that there is something worth discussing.
 - Some progress, some interesting result
 - Some detected anomalies
- If you are presenting some results make sure that these are thoroughly checked by you.
- Do not expect the supervisor to correct your manuscript during meeting.
- Instead, you may discuss about
 - The structure and outline of your paper
 - Important sections like conclusion and introduction

In the meeting

- The aspirant should keep a record of formal meetings and review the same in the next
 - Take running notes.
 - If more than one students are involved, each should take note
- The record may contain:
 - The routine things like date, time, location, participants
 - Review of objectives as agreed and documented in the previous meeting
 - New results
 - Suggestions/Advices by the supervisor
 - Decisions made about future work plan
 - Oate, time and location of the next meeting if agreed

Interaction through emails

- Compared to personal meetings, email contact is the next preferred mode of interaction.
- Advances:
 - No appointment or time synchrony required.
 - May continue even when the two parties are at different geographic location.
 - The sequence of emails forms a running record with date and no separate minutes needed.
 - 4 Student gets time to write and revise.
 - More than one supervisors can be informed simultaneously.
 - Outcome to the documents

Interaction through emails contd.

- Advantages
 - Improves writing skill of student.
 - 4 Helps shy students.
 - 4 Attachments may be sent
- Limitations:
 - Cannot replace personal meeting.
 - 2 Body language cues are absent.
 - Student has to take care of grammar and (sometimes) spelling.
 - Possibility of wrong interpretation due to poor language constructs
 - **5** Dependance on internet connection
 - Need to wait for mutual responses

Guidelines for web interaction

- Nowadays, this is one of the essential part of your research life cycle
- Guidelines for such virtual interactions;
 - All "attachments" should be described clearly in the body of the mail
 - ② Different revised versions of attachments should have different names so that these are not overwritten
 - On not give extensive homework to the supervisor by email, unless the supervisor specifically asked for it
 - For example, source code of your manuscript



Seeking feedback from a supervisor

- In majority of cases a supervisor would offer his feedback during a meeting or in response to an email.
- The quality of such feedback may vary widely.
 - too general or qualitative: Like: "good work", "carry on", "you have to put more effort" etc.
 - Qualitative: "The result does not seem to be correct", "Why
 don't you try a few other cases?", "We can publish this if you
 can provide a theoretical proof using measure theory," "You
 should investigate why your solution is converging very slowly."
- Progress of PhD research is crucially dependent on supervisory feedback.
- An aspirant should proactively seek <u>specific feedback</u> from the supervisor.



Seeking feedback from a supervisor

- If feedback and suggestions do not flow spontaneously, the aspirant should analyse possible reasons.
- Possible reasons for inadequate feedback:
 - The supervisor is withholding comments till the student specifically asks for it (British politeness).
 - The supervisor is temporarily overloaded and distracted.
 - He may need time to provide a more specific comment.
 - Statement, report or request from the student is so ill-formed or contains only subjective opinion that a feedback is not possible: e.g."I could not find any previous work on this topic", "I do not think the author understood what he was doing" etc.

Some suggestions to elicit specific feedback

- Present your findings in tables and graph, highlighting the significant part.
- Append your statement with a question: "this is what I got.
 Do you think it is plausible?", "how to find out if this result is ok?"
- Request elaboration: "could you be a bit more specific please?"
- Allow more time, wait for his response during the meeting.
- Leave the results so that supervisor gets more time to think about it.

Supervision by team

- What is team supervision?
- Often a research student would have more than one supervisors.
- This we call team supervision.
- Some universities may appoint a supervisory or advisory team along side the guide.
- It is expected that team supervision may provide extra protection to a student

Disadvantages

- Special problems with team supervision
- Possibility of inadequate coordination between supervisors leading to
 - confusion in a student
 - inconsistence monitoring of progress
 - misunderstanding
 - Oifficulty in arranging meetings.
 - Maintaining balance so that one guide does not feel neglected
 - Increased delay in publication as the draft must be approved by both

Supervision style

- The styles and standards of supervisions tend to vary with institutions and individual supervision
- There are frightening number of poor supervisions but we would ignore the horror stories and concentrate on the acceptable ones:-)
- There are several possible styles of acceptable supervision, which includes.
 - Laissez-Faire Style
 - Algorithmic Style
 - Spoon-Feed Style
 - 4 Hybrid Style

Laissez-Faire Style

- In the laissez-faire guidance style the guide provides little or no day to day direction
- Generally a broad description of the problem is all that is told at the beginning
- The student is given ample freedom to explore and come up with solutions
- An effective style
 - for creative, diligent and highly motivated students
 - For average student this style is not so suitable
 - In the early part of a Ph D project i makes the student insecure

Algorithmic Style

- The guide enforces that the student follow a life cycle prescribed by the guide as a strict regimen
- Usually cascade or short spiral life cycle model
- Deviations from the model is strongly discouraged
- Pros:
 - Easier tracking of progress
- Cons
 - May discourage creative individuals who may lose their interest
- In this style, the guide will prescribe the life cycle for a problem
- Students do only what is expected from them

Spoon-Feed Style

- Here the supervisor allocates a series of small assignments, usually sequentially, to the students
- Chalk out the path and/or revise the next agenda based on the results of previous assignments
- Supervisor takes responsibility of generating publications, by fitting the jig-saw puzzle, usually combining the work of two or more persons
- Cons:
 - The student miss the adventure, thrill of mysterious Ph.D journey
 - May discourage and de-motivate creative and advanced students
 - Sometimes the student suffers from lack of subject knowledge



Hybrid Style

- In the hybrid style of Guidance, elements of other styles are employed
- Fairly common
- Usually the best when performed properly
- Must be deliberate and well thought out
- Cons:
 - Some students get confused when a new paradigm is encountered
 - Some students may think that the supervisor is confused or incompetent, as some paradigms may be contradictory

Some points to be remembered

- Supervisors can change institutions, leaving you with some difficult decisions to make
- Dissatisfaction with the supervisory arrangements
- Can often be sorted out amicably and informally.
- May lead to a plea to change the supervisor
 - get to know the rules and procedures of university.
 - It may take a few months before a change of supervision would be possible.
 - The procedure would be painless if the current supervisor appreciates your difficulties and agree to the change.
- Where more serious issues of unprofessional conduct occur then the University complaints procedure is the best option
- When finding a new supervisor do not repeat previous mistakes

