

# PM.

$$\underline{A1} \quad ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha).$$

$$(A2) \quad (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$$

$$\underline{A3} \quad ((\neg \beta \rightarrow \neg \alpha) \rightarrow (\neg \beta \rightarrow \alpha)) \rightarrow \beta$$

Rule of Inference: Modus Ponens

$\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta$
$\beta$

# Theorems

$$\underline{\text{Ex}} \quad \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha \quad ; \quad \vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha.$$

$$\vdash \alpha \vee \neg\alpha.$$

$$\vdash (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \leftrightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$$

# Lemma (Soundness Theorem)

For any formula  $\alpha$ ,  
if  $\vdash \alpha$  then  $\vDash \alpha$ .

pf. Suppose  $\vdash \alpha$ . Let  
 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r = \alpha$  be a proof of  $\alpha$ .  
We shall prove by induction on  $i$   
~~the~~ that  $\vDash \alpha_i$ .

Since  $\alpha_1$  is either  $A_1$  or  $A_2$   
or  $A_3$ , it follows that  $\neg \alpha$   
( $\neg$ )

Assume that  $\neg \alpha_i$  for all  $i < n$ .

If  $\alpha_n$  is an axiom then  $\neg \alpha_n$

So assume that  $\alpha_n$  follows from

$\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  ( $i, j < n$ ) by MP.

Hence one of them, say  $\alpha_j$ , is of the form  
 $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_n$ .

By induction hyp.,

$\vdash \alpha_i$  and  $\vdash \alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_j$ .

$\therefore \vdash \alpha_j$ .  $\parallel$ .

Def<sup>n</sup> A formula  $\alpha$  is said to be  
Consistent if  $\not\vdash \alpha$ .

A finite set of formulas  $X = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$   
is consistent iff  $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n$   
is consistent.

A set  $X \subseteq WFF$  is consistent  
iff every finite subset of  $X$  is consistent.

Def<sup>n</sup> A set  $X \subseteq \text{WFF}$  is  
called a maximal consistent set (MCS)  
if (i)  $X$  is consistent  
& (ii)  $\forall \beta \notin X, X \cup \{\beta\}$  is not consistent

Lemma 1. (Lindenbaum)

Every <sup>consistent</sup> set of formulas  $X$  can be  
extended to an MCS.

If we shall construct a seq<sup>n</sup>  $\{x_n\}$  as

follows:

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots$  be an enumeration of the formulas of PL

$$x_0 = x.$$

$$x_{i+1} = \begin{cases} x_i \cup \{\alpha_i\} \\ x_i \end{cases}$$

if  $x_i \cup \{\alpha_i\}$  is consistent

o. w.



Let  $Y = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} X_i$

Clearly,  $Y \supseteq X$ .

By construction each  $X_i$  is consistent

Claim  $Y$  is a maximal consistent set

Let  $A$  be a finite subset of  $Y$ .

Clearly,  $A \subseteq X_i$  for some  $i$ .

Since  $X_i$  is consistent  $A$  is also consistent.

Let  $B \notin \mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $B = \alpha_j$  for some  $j$ .

Since  $\alpha_j \notin \mathcal{L}$ .

$\alpha_j \notin X_{j+1}$ .

Since  $\alpha_j$  has not been added at step  $j+1$  in our construction,

$X_j \cup \{\alpha_j\}$  is not consistent.

Hence  $\exists$  a finite set  $F \subseteq X$ , s.t.  
 $F \cup \{\alpha_j\}$  is not consistent.

Clearly,  $F \cup \{\alpha_j\} \subseteq Y \cup \{\beta\}$   
 $\Rightarrow Y \cup \{\beta\}$  is not consistent.

Lemma 2 Let  $X$  be an MCS.

- (i) For any formula  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in X$  iff  $\neg \alpha \notin X$ .  
(ii)  $\alpha \vee \beta \in X$  then  $\alpha \in X$  or  $\beta \in X$ .

Def<sup>n</sup> For every MCS  $X$ , we define  
a valuation  $\mathcal{V}_X$  as follows:

For any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_X(p) = 1$  iff  $p \in X$ .

Prop. Let  $\mathcal{V}_X$  be as above. Then for  
any formula  $\alpha$ ,

$\mathcal{V}_X(\alpha) = 1$  iff  $\alpha \in X$ .

Prf By induction on  $|\alpha|$

Base Case  $\alpha$  is atomic.  
Then  $v_x(\alpha) = 1$  iff  $\alpha \in X$ .

Suppose  $\alpha = \neg \beta$ .  
 $v_x(\alpha) = 1$  iff  $v_x(\beta) = 0$ .  
iff  $\beta \notin X$  iff  $\neg \beta \in X$  by  $\mathcal{L}_2$

Suppose  $\alpha = \beta \vee \gamma$ .  
 $v_x(\alpha) = 1$  iff  $v_x(\beta) = 1$  or  $v_x(\gamma) = 1$ .  
iff  $\beta \in X$  or  $\gamma \in X$   
iff  $\beta \vee \gamma \in X$  by  $\mathcal{L}_2$

Lemma 3 (Aenkin)

If  $\alpha$  is consistent, then  $\alpha$  is satisfiable.

Pf.

$\{\alpha\}$  is consistent.

By  $\alpha$ ,  $\exists$  MCS  $X$  s.t.

$\alpha \in X$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{V}_X(\alpha) = 1$ .

# Completeness Thm.

For any formula  $\alpha$ , if  $\vDash \alpha$  then  $\vdash \alpha$ .

pf Suppose  $\not\vdash \alpha$ .

We have  $\vdash \neg \neg \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

If  $\vdash \neg \neg \alpha$ , then by MP  $\vdash \alpha$   
Contradiction.

Hence  $\not\vdash \neg \neg \alpha$ . By def<sup>n</sup>  $\neg \alpha$  is consistent.

By L3, for some valuation  $\nu$ ,  $\nu(\neg \alpha) = 1$

$\Rightarrow \nu(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow \not\vdash \alpha$