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1. Hrithik is given a data structure $D$ maintaining an extrinsic order on $n$ items, supporting two standard sequence operations: $D$.get_at $(i)$ in worst case $\Theta(1)$ times and $D$.set_at $(i, x)$ in worst case $\Theta(n \log n)$ time. Which comparison based sorting algorithm he should choose to sort the $n$ items?
2. Prabal is working on an embedded device (an ATM) that only has 8 KB of free memory, and he wishes to sort the $2,000,000$ transactions withdrawal history by the amount of money withdrawn (discarding the original order of transactions). Suggest an efficient sorting algorithm for Prabal.
3. Krishnakanta claims that he has designed a Priority Queue in the comparison model with both the following properties: EXTRACT-MAX running in $\Theta(1)$, and BUILD-HEAP running in $\Theta(n)$ time. Justify correctness of his claim.
4. Suppose Sajani places $n$ books on top of one another sorted according to the date of publication. Now Sruti comes and swaps several pairs of adjacent books. Suggest an efficient sorting algorithm to re-sort the books if Sruti performs at most $\log n$ swapping.
5. Mriganka claims that any comparison based sorting algorithm can be made to be stable, without affecting the running time by more than a constant factor. Justify the correctness of Mriganka's statement.
6. Pierre has designed a data structure $D$ supports the following sequence operations:
D.insert_first(x), D.delete_first(), D.insert_last(x), D.delete_last(),
each in $O(1)$ time. In addition, $D$ also supports the operations
D.insert_at(x,i), D.delete_at(i),
both of which requires $O(\log n)$ time. Can you device efficient algorithms to implement the following higher level operations using the above lower-level operations:
(a) reverse $(D, i, k)$ : Reverse in $D$ the order of the $k$ items starting at index $i$.
(b) shift_left $(D, k)$ : Move the first $k$ items in order to the end of the sequene in $D$.

Compute the time complexity of each proposed algorithms. Assume that all the delete operations return the value deleted.
7. Let $A$ be an array of $n$ integers containing the numbers $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ in some arbitrary order. For integers $i$ and $j$ such that $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, let Reverse $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ be a procedure that reverses the subarray $A[i], A[i+1], \ldots, A[j]$ of the array $A$ while leaving the remaining elements of the array unaffected. Nikhil has suggested the following algorithm to sort the array $A$ :

```
for i := 1 to n-1
    while A[i] != i do
        Reverse(A, i, A[i])
```

Prove correctness of Nikhil's algorithm.

