Dynamical Many Body Localization in Floquet Spin Chains

From Simple Quantum Magnets to Discrete Time Crystals



Analabha Roy Department of Physics, The University of Burdwan Bardhaman, India Email: daneel@utexas.edu

International Symposium on Quantum Information and Communication (ISQIC), 2025 CQUERE, TCG CREST

Introduction

- Many Body Localized systems retain memory of initial states, unlike thermalizing systems.
- Periodically Driven Quantum Systems can induce localization in energy space, even without disorder, leading to dynamical freezing and Dynamical Many Body Localization (DMBL).
- Quantum Interference plays a crucial role in DMBL, affecting ergodicity and energy absorption.
- MBL enables unique and exotic quantum orders like Floquet time crystals, protected by localization.



People

Collaborators:



People

Collaborators:



Motivations: ETH-MBL

• Sufficiently complex closed quantum MB systems eventually thermalize dynamically (ETH)



$$\bar{A} \to \sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}|^2 A_{\alpha\alpha} \approx \langle A \rangle_{mc} \sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}|^2 = \langle A \rangle_{mc}$$

Rigol et. al. Nature (2008).

• However, quantum dynamics is supposed to preserve the memory of the initial conditions *i.e.*, *localized*.

 $|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t) |\psi(0)\rangle , \ \partial_t \hat{U} = i\hat{H}\hat{U}$

• Many Body Localization (MBL) is a phase of matter where this happens in local observables for long times. ETH (Thermal) MBL (Athermal)





Motivations: ETH-MBL

Background:

- <u>Anderson (1958),</u> <u>Phys. Rev. 109 (5): 1492</u> • <u>Nandkishore and Huse (2015),</u> <u>arXiv:1404.0686</u> • <u>Morningstar et. al. (2022):</u> <u>arXiv: 2107.05642</u>
- MBL uses interactions to build on Anderson Localization: Spatially-localized dynamics by disorder.
- Thus, the closed system keeps initial condition information, even under thermodynamic conditions.



Anderson localization in this context means that the spins

are localized at certain sites due to disorder in the system

 In time periodic systems, MBL can be regulated with high-frequency drives (Floquet Engineering) Even without disorder! This is important, as disorder-induced MBL is prethermal.

$$\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H}(t) \quad \hat{H}(t+nT) = \hat{H}(t)$$

Oka and Kitamura, Ann. Rev. Cond. Matt. Phys. (2018).

Motivations: ETH-MBL

Background:

- <u>Anderson (1958),</u> <u>Phys. Rev. 109 (5): 1492</u> • <u>Nandkishore and Huse (2015),</u> <u>arXiv:1404.0686</u> • <u>Morningstar *et. al.* (2022):</u> <u>arXiv: 2107.05642</u>
- MBL uses interactions to build on Anderson Localization: Spatially-localized dynamics by disorder.
- Thus, the closed system keeps initial condition information, even under thermodynamic conditions.









MBL talk on YouTube by David Huse

 In time periodic systems, MBL can be regulated with high-frequency drives (Floquet Engineering) Even without disorder! This is important, as disorder-induced MBL is prethermal.









Floquet talk on YouTube by Takashi Oka

• <u>E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis,</u> <u>Ann. Phys 16:407 (1961)</u>

Theorv:

• Bigan Mbeng et. al. arXiv:2009.09208

• <u>G. Mussardo- Statistical Field Theory</u> Oxford (2020), ISBN:978-0198788102

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[J \sum_{i} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + h(t) \sum_{i} \sigma_i^z \right] \qquad h(t) = h \cos \omega t + h_0$$

$$\sigma_{i}^{x} \equiv \left(b_{i}^{\dagger} + b_{i}\right)$$

$$\sigma_{i}^{y} \equiv -i\left(b_{i}^{\dagger} - b_{i}\right) \rightarrow b_{j} = e^{i\pi\sum_{i < j} c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i}}c_{j}$$

$$\sigma_{i}^{z} \equiv 2b_{i}^{\dagger}b_{i} + 1$$

Jordan Wigner Transformation

$$H(t) = \sum_{k,-k} \left(c_k, c_{-k}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} h(t) + f_k & \Delta_k \\ \Delta_k^* & -h(t) - f_k \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_k^{\dagger} \\ c_{-k} \end{array} \right)$$

Theory:

• <u>E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis,</u> <u>Ann. Phys 16:407 (1961)</u>

• Bigan Mbeng et. al. arXiv:2009.09208

• <u>G. Mussardo- Statistical Field Theory</u> Oxford (2020), ISBN:978-0198788102

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[J \sum_{i} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + h(t) \sum_{i} \sigma_i^z \right] \quad h(t) = h \cos \omega t + h_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i^x &\equiv \left(b_i^{\dagger} + b_i\right) \\
\sigma_i^y &\equiv -i\left(b_i^{\dagger} - b_i\right) \\
\sigma_i^z &\equiv 2b_i^{\dagger}b_i + 1
\end{aligned}$$

Jordan Wigner Transformation

$$H(t) = \sum_{k,-k} \begin{pmatrix} c_k, c_{-k}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h(t) + f_k & \Delta_k \\ \Delta_k^* & -h(t) - f_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k^{\dagger} \\ c_{-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Map to independent TLS systems

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive :

Unitary
Transformation:

$$U(t) = \prod_{k} \exp\left\{\left[\frac{i\hbar}{\omega}\sin\omega t\right]\tau_{z}\right\}$$

$$H'(t) = \sum_{\substack{(k,-k) \\ \text{pairs}}} \left(c_{k}, c_{-k}^{\dagger}\right) \left\{\tau_{z}f_{k} + 2\tau_{x}\Delta_{k}\sum_{n\geq0}J_{2n}(\eta)\cos\left(2n\omega t\right) - 2\tau^{y}\Delta_{k}\sum_{n\geq0}J_{2n+1}(\eta)\sin\left[(2n+1)\omega t\right]\right\} \left(\begin{array}{c}c_{k}^{\dagger}\\c_{-k}\end{array}\right)$$

Theory:

- Grossmann et. al. PRL (1991).
- Ashhab et. al. PRA (2007)
- <u>A. Roy and A. Das</u>, *arXiv:1405.3966 Experiment:*
- NMR (trifluoroiodoethylene) -Hegde et. al. arXiv:1307.8219(2013).

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive :

Unitary
Transformation:
$$U(t) = \prod_{k} \exp\left\{\left[\frac{ih}{\omega}\sin\omega t\right]\tau_z\right\}$$
 $H'(t) = \sum_{\substack{(k,-k) \ \text{pairs}}} \left(c_k, c^{\dagger}_{-k}\right) \left\{\tau_z f_k + 2\tau_x \Delta_k \sum_{n \ge 0} J_{2n}(\eta)\cos(2n\omega t)\right\}$

$$-2\tau^{y}\Delta_{k}\sum_{n\geq 0}J_{2n+1}(\eta)\sin\left[\left(2n+1\right)\omega t\right]\right\}\left(\begin{array}{c}c_{k}^{\dagger}\\c_{-k}\end{array}\right)$$







Theory:

<u>Grossmann et. al. PRL (1991).</u>
<u>Ashhab et. al. PRA (2007)</u>
<u>A. Roy and A. Das, arXiv:1405.3966</u> *Experiment:*NMR (trifluoroiodoethylene) -Hegde et. al. arXiv:1307.8219(2013).

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive :

Unitary Transformation:

$$V(t) = \prod_{k} \exp\left\{\left[\frac{ih}{\omega}\sin\omega t\right]\tau_z\right\}$$

$$H^{RWA} = \sum_{(k,-k)-\text{pairs}} \left(c_k, c_{-k}^{\dagger} \right) \left[f_k \tau_z + 2J_0(\eta) \Delta_k \tau_x \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} c_k^{\dagger} \\ c_{-k} \end{array} \right)$$







Theory:

- <u>Grossmann *et. al.* PRL (1991).</u>
 Ashhab *et. al.* PRA (2007)
- <u>A. Roy and A. Das, arXiv:1405.3966</u>

Experiment:

• NMR (trifluoroiodoethylene) -Hegde et. al. arXiv:1307.8219(2013).

Transverse Field Ising Model with harmonic drive :

Unitary Transformation:

$$U(t) = \prod_{k} \exp\left\{\left[\frac{ih}{\omega}\sin\omega t\right]\tau_z\right\}$$

$$H^{RWA} = \sum_{(k,-k)-\text{pairs}} \left(c_k, c_{-k}^{\dagger} \right) \left[f_k \tau_z + 2 J_0(\eta) \Delta_k \tau_x \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} c_k^{\dagger} \\ c_{-k} \end{array} \right)$$







Theory:

- <u>Grossmann *et. al.* PRL (1991).</u>
- <u>Ashhab et. al. PRA (2007)</u>
- <u>A. Roy and A. Das, arXiv:1405.3966</u> Experiment:
- NMR (trifluoroiodoethylene) -Hegde et. al. arXiv:1307.8219(2013).

TFIM- Localization When Frozen

Localization, quantified by Inverse Participation (IPR) of Floquet Modes

$$\begin{split} H(t) &= \sum_{k} \left(c_{k}, c_{-k}^{\dagger} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} h(t) + f_{k} & \Delta_{k} \\ \Delta_{k}^{*} & -h(t) - f_{k} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_{k}^{\dagger} \\ c_{-k} \end{array} \right), \\ \hat{U}(t) &= e^{-i\hat{K}_{F}(t)} e^{-i\hat{H}_{F}t}, \\ \hat{K}_{F} &= \text{Time-periodic micromotion, negligible for large-}\omega \\ \hat{H}_{F} &= \text{Floquet Hamiltonian} \\ \text{Eigs} \left[\hat{H}_{F} \right] \rightarrow \Omega_{n} \text{ Quasienergies, } |\phi^{n}\rangle = \prod_{k} |\phi_{k}^{n}\rangle \text{ Floquet Modes} \end{split}$$

• IPR: Often defined as the integral of the square of density

$$\mathrm{IPR} \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{r} \; \rho^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{r}\right)$$

Reduced IPR:

$$\phi_{_{IPR}} \equiv \sum_{m} |\langle m | \psi \rangle |^4.$$

Reduced IPR: TFIM

 $\phi_{IPR}^{(n)}(k) = |\langle 0|\phi_k^n \rangle|^4 + |\langle +k, -k|\phi_k^n \rangle|^4,$





Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + [h \cos \omega t + h_0] \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$
$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$
$$\left[S^2, H(t)\right] = 0$$

<u>H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov,</u> <u>A.J Glick, *Nuc. Phys* **62** 188 (1965)</u>

Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

0

Unitary

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + [h \cos \omega t + h_0] \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$

$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t)S^x$$
Unitary
$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left[i\frac{h}{\omega}\sin(\omega t)S^x\right].$$

$$\tilde{H}(t) = -\frac{\hat{S}^2}{N-1} + \frac{(\hat{S}^x)^2}{N-1} - 2h_0\hat{S}^x - \frac{J_0(\eta)}{N-1} [(\hat{S}^z)^2 - (\hat{S}^y)^2] - \frac{2}{N-1} [(\hat{S}^z)^2 - (\hat{S}^y)^2] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{2k}(\eta)\cos(2k\omega t)$$

$$-\frac{2}{N-1} \{\hat{S}^y, \hat{S}^z\} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{2k-1}(\eta)\sin[(2k-1)\omega t].$$

H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov, A.J Glick, Nuc. Phys 62 188 (1965)

G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas, C. Emary, and T. Brandes Phys. Rev. E 87, 052110 (2013)

Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

9

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + [h \cos \omega t + h_0] \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$

$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$

$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left[i\frac{h}{\omega}\sin(\omega t)S^x\right].$$

$$\frac{\hat{U}(t)}{\Gamma t} = \exp\left[i\frac{h}{\omega}\sin(\omega t)S^x\right].$$

$$\frac{\hat{H}(t)}{\hat{H}(t)} = -\frac{\hat{S}^2}{N-1} + \frac{(\hat{S}^x)^2}{N-1} - 2h_0\hat{S}^x - \frac{J_0(\eta)}{N-1} \left[(\hat{S}^z)^2 - (\hat{S}^y)^2\right] \left[\frac{2}{N-1} \left[(\hat{S}^z)^2 - (\hat{S}^y)^2\right] \sum_{k=1}^\infty J_{2k}(\eta)\cos(2k\omega t)} - \frac{2}{N-1} \left\{\hat{S}^y, \hat{S}^z\right\} \sum_{k=1}^\infty J_{2k-1}(\eta)\sin\left[(2k-1)\omega t\right].$$

<u>H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov,</u> <u>A.J Glick, *Nuc. Phys* **62** 188 (1965)</u>

<u>G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas,</u> <u>C. Emary, and T. Brandes</u> <u>Phys. Rev. E **87**, 052110 (2013)</u>

Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + [h \cos \omega t + h_0] \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$
$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$
$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left[i\frac{h}{\omega}\sin(\omega t)S^z\right]$$
mation:

Unitary Transformation:

$$\tilde{H}(t) = -\frac{\hat{S}^2}{N-1} + \frac{\left(\hat{S}^x\right)^2}{N-1} - 2h_0\hat{S}^x - \frac{J_0(\eta)}{N-1} \left[\left(\hat{S}^z\right)^2 - \left(\hat{S}^y\right)^2\right]$$

<u>H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov,</u> <u>A.J Glick, *Nuc. Phys* **62** 188 (1965)</u>

<u>G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas,</u> <u>C. Emary, and T. Brandes</u> <u>Phys. Rev. E **87**, 052110 (2013)</u>



Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

Unitary

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{N-1} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + [h \cos \omega t + h_0] \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$

$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$

Unitary
Transformation:

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left[i\frac{h}{\omega}\sin(\omega t)S^x\right]$$

H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov, A.J Glick, Nuc. Phys 62 188 (1965)

G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas, C. Emary, and T. Brandes Phys. Rev. E 87, 052110 (2013)



 $\tilde{H}(t) = -\frac{\hat{S}^2}{N-1} + \frac{\left(\hat{S}^x\right)^2}{N-1} - 2h_0\hat{S}^x - \left(\frac{J_0(\eta)}{N-1}\right) \left[\left(\hat{S}^z\right)^2 - \left(\hat{S}^y\right)^2\right]$

Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (simplified form)

$$H(t) = \frac{2J}{N} \sum_{i < j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + h(t) \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$
$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} (S^z)^2 + h(t) S^x$$
$$S^2, H(t) = 0$$

If
$$S^{2} = \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1 \right) \implies \text{Eigs}\left(S^{z}\right) \in \left\{ -\frac{N}{2}, -\frac{N}{2} + 1, \cdots, \frac{N}{2} - 1, \frac{N}{2} \right\}$$

Evecs $\left(S^{z}\right) \in \left\{ \left| -N \right\rangle, \left| -N + 1 \right\rangle, \dots, \left| 0 \right\rangle, \left| 1 \right\rangle, \left| 2 \right\rangle, \dots, \left| N - 1 \right\rangle, \left| N \right\rangle \right\}$

<u>H,J, Lipkin, N. Meshkov,</u> <u>A.J Glick, *Nuc. Phys* **62** 188 (1965)</u>

G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas, C. Emary, and T. Brandes Phys. Rev. E **87**, 052110 (2013)

IPR comparison of Ising model with LMG (N=100)



$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} \left(S^z \right)^2 + h(t) S^x$$





M. Rahaman, T. Mori and A. Roy arXiv:2308.03622

1.0

0.8

(1 + 0.6)(1 + 0.6) (2×0.4) (2×0.4)

0.0

1.0

0.8

 $\overset{(u)}{\overset{u}{\overset{u}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{d}}{\overset{d}}}}}{\overset{0.6}{\phi}}}_{0.4}$

0.2

0.0

IPR comparison of Ising model with LMG (N=100)



$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} \left(S^z \right)^2 + h(t) S^x$$



Low freq thermalization, consistent with A. Russomanno, R. Fazio and G. E. Santoro, EPL 110 (3), 37005 (2015)

M. Rahaman, T. Mori and A. Roy arXiv:2308.03622

0.2

1.0

0.8

(1 + 0.6)(1 + 0.6) (2×0.4) (2×0.4)

0.0

1.0

0.8

 $\overset{(u)}{\overset{u}{\overset{u}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{u}{\overset{d}}{\overset{d}}{\overset{d}}}}}{\overset{0.6}{\phi}}}_{0.4}$

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.2

IPR comparison of Ising model with LMG (N=100)



$$H(t) \sim \frac{2J}{N} \left(S^z\right)^2 + h(t)S^x$$



<u>G. Engelhardt, V. M. Bastidas,</u> <u>C. Emary, and T. Brandes</u> <u>Phys. Rev. E **87**, 052110 (2013)</u>



Low freq thermalization, consistent with A. Russomanno, R. Fazio and G. E. Santoro, EPL 110 (3), 37005 (2015)

Wilczek, Frank (2012). PRL. 109 (16): 160401.

Else, Bauer and Nayak (2016) arXiv:1603.08001

- Discrete time crystals are a novel state of matter where the quasistationary state of a driven system spontaneously breaks the periodicity of the drive.
- Similar to how Z2 symmetry is broken in the Transverse Field Ising Model.



• Square wave switches can alternate between two Hamiltonians, one that can flip the spins, and the DMBL Hamiltonian that ensures that they stay flipped until the switch echoes the spins back every second cycle.

$$t = 0$$

$$t = T/2$$

$$frip$$

$$fri$$

• Square wave switches can alternate between two Hamiltonians, one that can flip the spins, and the DMBL Hamiltonian that ensures that they stay flipped until the switch echoes the spins back every second cycle.

















Outlook:

1) Can DMBL be used to create more efficient Mesoscale engines and refrigerators?



2) Other ways to localize dynamics in DTC – Floquet Flat Bands!



The Floquet modes can all be engineered to be degenerate! No mobility (zero group velocity) Banerjee, Choudhury, and Sengupta, *arXiv:2404.06536*

<u>A. Roy and A. Das,</u> <u>arXiv:1405.3966</u>

M. Rahaman, T. Mori and A. Roy arXiv:2308.03622

Rahaman, Sakurai and Roy (2024): arXiv:2309.16523



<u>A. Roy and A. Das,</u> arXiv:1405.3966

M. Rahaman, T. Mori and A. Roy ArXiv:2308.03622

Rahaman, Sakurai and Roy (2024): arXiv:2309.16523

Collaborators:

- Profs. Arnab Das (IACS, Kolkata) and Takashi Mori (Keio U. Japan)
- Dr. Akitada Sakurai (Okinawa Inst. Japan)
- Dr. Mahbub Rahaman (BU)

Support:

- Support from ANRF (formerly SERB) Core Research Grant CRG/2018/004002,
- Support from the University Grants Commission Grant F.30-425/2018(BSR)



